

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,908		07/16/2001	Hiromichi Komori	XA-9517	3669	
181	7590	10/31/2002				
MILES & S	STOCKB	RIDGE PC		EXAMINER		
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500				DUNWOODY, AARON M		
MCLEAN, V	MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3679		
			*	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
P / M	09/904,908	KOMORI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aaron M Dunwoody	3679
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply belion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute. Cause the application to become ABANC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>20 August 200</i> 2 .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice understand of Claims	allowance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	nminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Applica	ation No.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the action for a second	priority documents have been recei al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has been re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	B) 5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species designated Group 1, drawn to claims 1-7, in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 3, change from "member an" to "member, an".

Claim 4, line 2, change from "wherein the stopper portions" to "wherein stopper portions".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 7 recite the limitation "the center" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 5916026, Sadakata.

In regards to claim 1, Sadakata discloses an elastic shaft coupling (101a) having a coupling element which is formed by interposing between a joint member (104) and a hollow shaft member (102a), an elastic member (111) for flexing and deforming upon a relative rotation between these members and forming stopper portions for restricting the relative rotation within a predetermined amount respectively on the joint member and the hollow shaft member, characterized in that the stopper portions on the hollow shaft

Art Unit: 3679

member side are formed by plastically processing the end portion of the material of the hollow shaft member into a flange shape.

The method of forming the device, in particular, the stopper portions on the hollow shaft member side being formed by plastically processing the end portion of the material of the hollow shaft member into a flange shape, is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

In regards to claim 4, Sadakata disclose the stopper portions on the joint member side being brought into contact with the stopper portions on the hollow shaft member side from the center thereof in accordance with the relative rotation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadakata.

In regards to claim 3, Sadakata discloses the claimed invention except for the outer diameter of the stopper portion on the hollow shaft member side being formed smaller than the outer diameter of the stopper portion on the joint member side. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to fabricate the outer diameter of the stopper portion on the hollow shaft member side smaller than the outer diameter of

Art Unit: 3679

the stopper portion on the joint member side, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

In regards to claim 5, Sadakata discloses the claimed invention except for a method of manufacturing a coupling element which is formed by interposing between a joint member and a hollow shaft member an elastic member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a method of manufacturing a coupling element which is formed by interposing between a joint member and a hollow shaft member an elastic member, since under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the same process. *In re King*, 802 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3679

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Page 6

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is (703)

306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 7:30

am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

.amd

October 24, 2002

Lynne H. Browne

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3670